

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/523,746	RAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Silverman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
DE 3629269

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 1 is amended to include a flotation step as required by the preamble which states "A method for flotation..."

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)